## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

JOHN R. DEMOS,

Case No. C-3:10-cv-116

Plaintiff,

Judge Thomas M. Rose Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

-V-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

ENTRY AND ORDER ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. #6) IN ITS ENTIRETY; OVERRULING DEMOS'S OBJECTIONS (Doc. #7) AND DISMISSING DEMOS'S COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

This matter comes before the Court pursuant to Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz's

Report and Recommendations (doc. #6) regarding pro se Plaintiff John R. Demos's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus *Ad Testificandum*. The Court previously granted Demos's Application To Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. The Magistrate Judge has now reviewed Demos's Petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and 1915A and recommends that it be dismissed without prejudice because Demos has not stated a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Demos has filed Objections to the Report and Recommendations and the Petition has not yet been served on the United States of America. This matter is, therefore, ripe for decision.

As required by 28 U.S.C. §636(b) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 72(b), the District Judge has made a de novo review of the record in this case. Upon said review, the Court finds that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations is adopted in its entirety.

A writ of habeas corpus *ad testificandum* is a writ used in civil and criminal cases to bring a prisoner to court to testify. *Black's Law Dictionary* 715 (7<sup>th</sup> ed. 1999). In this case,

Demos is a prisoner who seeks a writ of habeas corpus *ad testificandum* so that he can testify before this Court and the United States Senate Intelligence Committee about various threats to national security, a purpose for which this writ was not intended nor provided for by law. Thus, Demos has not stated a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Therefore, Demos's Petition is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Further, Demos's Objections to the Report and Recommendations are OVERRULED.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio, this Nineteenth Day of May, 2010.

s/Thomas M. Rose

THOMAS M. ROSE UNITED STATED DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record John R. Demos at his last address of record